



# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/970,147	10/03/2001	Thaddeus Bouchard	OMT-015 (6105/28)	9583
21323 75	590 11/08/2005	EXAMINER		INER
•	WITZ & THIBEAU	PERUNGAVOOR, VENKATANARAY		
HIGH STREET TOWER 125 HIGH STREET BOSTON, MA 02110			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2132	
	•		DATE MAILED: 11/08/2004	•

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Comme	09/970,147	BOUCHARD ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Venkatanarayanan Perungavoor	2132				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 Au	iaust 2005					
· _	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
<i>;</i> —	, <u> </u>					
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 5</u> is/are rejected.						
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2-4,6 and 7</u> is/are objected to.						
8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>10/03/2001</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
Attachment(s)  Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary ( Paper No(s)/Mail Dai 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 09/970,147 Page 2

Art Unit: 2132

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. In response to applicant's request to examine unexamined claims 17-23 regarding the last Office action, the following corrective action is taken. The previously examined claims and the newly examined claims are listed below.

The period for reply of 3 MONTHS set in said Office Action is restarted to begin with the mailing date of this letter.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

  The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claim 6, 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The Applicant mentions first super-message digest is derived from timestamp associated with first super-message digest. However, how can one derive first super-message digest from itself. The Examiner believes the applicant meant "second super-message digest is derived from timestamp..." Approriate correction is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 09/970,147

Art Unit: 2132

5. Claims 1, 5,17, 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent 5,946,396 to Davis in view of U.S. Patent 5,136,646 to Haber et al(hereinafter Haber).

Page 3

- 6. Regarding Claim 1, 17, Davis discloses the creating of message digests(see Fig. 2 item 310, 315) and forming a first super message digest(see Fig. 1 item 170<sub>1</sub>,170<sub>2</sub>), further the second message digest forming from the first super message digest(see Fig. 1 item 180<sub>1</sub>,180<sub>2</sub>), transmitting it to a timestamp authority(see Abstract & Col 3 Ln 18-32). But does not disclose the audit log and receiving a plurality of documents for creating message digest. However, Haber discloses the plurality of documents being received see Fig. 1 item 11 and creating a receipt see Fig.1 item 14. It would be obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify video and audio clips to documents and creating a audit log in the invention of Davis in order to have a document that is secure as taught in Haber see Col 2 Ln 50-68.
- 7. Regarding Claim 5, 21, Davis does not discloses the timestamp being stored in audit log. However, Haber discloses the timestamp being stored in audit log see Col 4 Ln 22-40. It would be obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to include a timestamp being stored in audit log in the invention of Davis in order to have a new and update log as taught in Haber see Col 4 Ln 43-49.

Application/Control Number: 09/970,147 Page 4

Art Unit: 2132

### Allowable Subject Matter

- 8. Claim 2-4, 7, 18-20, 23 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 9. Claim 6 and 22 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

#### Conclusion

- 10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Venkatanarayanan Perungavoor whose telephone number is 571-272-7213. The examiner can normally be reached on 8-4:30. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gilberto Barron can be reached on 571-272-3799. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.
- 11. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

Application/Control Number: 09/970,147

Art Unit: 2132

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

10/21/2005

GILBERTO BARRON TO SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

Page 5